arXiv:1610.01122v1 [math.GT] 4 Oct 2016

# POSITIVE FACTORIZATIONS OF SYMMETRIC MAPPING CLASSES

TETSUYA ITO AND KEIKO KAWAMURO

Abstract. We study Question 7.9 in [7] raised by Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris; whether a symmetric mapping class admitting a positive factorization is a lift of a quasi-positive braid. We answer affirmatively for mapping classes satisfying certain cyclic conditions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a compact, oriented surface with non-empty boundary, which is a certain (see Section 2) particular branched covering of a disk $D$ branched at $n$-points. A mapping class $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is called *symmetric* (fiber-preserving) [3, p.65] if $\phi$ is a lift of an element of the braid group $B_n = \mathrm{Mod}(D^2 \setminus \{n \text{ branch points}\})$.

A *positive factorization* of a mapping class $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is a factorization of $\phi$ as a product of positive (right-handed) Dehn twists about simple closed curves. In contact and symplectic geometry, positive factorizations of mapping classes play an important role. For example, a positive factorization of the monodromy of an open book gives rise to a Stein filling of the contact 3-manifold supported by the open book [11].

In [7, Question 7.9] Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris ask the following question: *If a symmetric mapping class $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ admits a positive factorization, then is $\phi$ a lift of a quasi-positive braid ?* Here, a quasi-positive braid is a braid which factors into positive half twists about proper simple arcs in the $n$-punctured disk.

In this paper, we give partial answers to the question.

In Section 2, we review a result of Birman and Hilden [2] on symmetric mapping classes (Proposition 2.1), and then give a more precise formulation of the above question.

*Date*: October 5, 2016.

In Section 3, we show that the answer to the question is affirmative when the number of branch points $n$ is two (Theorem 3.1) or the degree of the branched covering is two with $n \leq 4$ branch points (Theorem 3.2).

In Section 4, we discuss roots of quasi-positive braids and find conditions that a root of a quasi-positive braid is also quasi-positive. It is related to the following natural question which is considered in Section 5: If $\phi^d \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is a lift of a quasi-positive braid then so is $\phi$?

In Section 5, we show our main theorems (Theorems 5.1 and 5.4) that if a symmetric mapping class $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ admits a certain type of positive factorization then $\phi$ is the lift of a quasi-positive braid.

## 2. Birman-Hilden theory

Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, we always assume that the boundary of a surface is non-empty, any homeomorphism of a surface with marked points (punctures) fixes the boundary pointwise and permutes the marked points, and any isotopy of homeomorphisms $\{f_t\}$ pointwise fixes the boundary and the marked points. We denote by $[f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ the isotopy class of a homeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$. For a simple closed curve $C$ in a surface $S$, we denote by $t_C \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ a right-handed Dehn twist about $C$ which is supported in a neighborhood of $C$, and denote its isotopy class by $T_C \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$. A simple closed curve in a surface is called *essential* if it is not homotopic to a point, a puncture, or a boundary component of the surface.

Let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \subset \mathrm{Int}(D)$ be $n$ points in the interior of a disk $D \simeq D^2$. We may identify the braid group $B_n$ with the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(D; P)$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(D \setminus P)$ of the $n$-punctured disk $D_n = D \setminus P$ is the free group of rank $n$ and generated by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, where $x_i$ is a loop winding once around $p_i$ counter-clockwise. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$e_k : \pi_1(D \setminus P) \to \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$$

be a homomorphism defined by $e_k(x_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, let $\pi : S = S_{n,k} \to D$ be the $k$-fold cyclic branched covering branched at $P$ such that the associated regular covering $S \setminus \pi^{-1}(P) \to D \setminus P$ is the $k$-fold cyclic cover corresponding to the subgroup $\ker(e_k)$ of $\pi(D \setminus P)$. We denote by $\widetilde{P} = \{\widetilde{p_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{p_n}\} = \pi^{-1}(P) \subset S$ the set of branch points in $S$. Let $\iota = \iota_k : S \to S$ be a generator of the deck transformation group $\mathrm{Aut}(S, \pi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$.

We visualize $S$ and $\iota$ as follows. The covering $S$ can be viewed as the so called Bennequin surface or the canonical Seifert surface of the $(n, k)$-torus link represented

as the closure of the $n$-braid $(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})^k$ (see Figure 1). The deck transformation $\iota_k$ is the $\frac{2\pi}{k}$ rotation of the surface $S$ about the braid axis through the branch points $\widetilde{P}$. Although $\iota$ is a homeomorphism of $S$ the boundary $\partial S$ is not pointwise fixed by $\iota$.




FIGURE 1. The Seifert surface $S$ of a $(n, k)$ torus link, where $n = 5$ and $k = 4$.

Let $\beta \in B_n$ and $f_\beta : (D, P) \to (D, P)$ be a homeomorphism representing the braid $\beta$. Since $\beta(\ker(e_k)) = \ker(e_k)$ via the action of $B_n$ on $\pi_1(D \setminus P)$, there is a lift $\widetilde{f}_\beta : S \to S$ of $f_\beta$ (see [2, Lemma 5.1] and [10, Theorem 1.1]). Among the $k$ possible lifts which are related to each other by a deck transformation, $\widetilde{f}_\beta$ is the unique lift that fixes $\partial S$ pointwise.

When two homeomorphisms $f_\beta$ and $f'_\beta \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(D, P)$ represent the same braid $\beta \in B_n$ we note that an isotopy between $f_\beta$ and $f'_\beta$ lifts to an isotopy between their lifts $\widetilde{f}_\beta$ and $\widetilde{f}'_\beta$. Thus, we have a homomorphism

$$\Psi : B_n \to \mathrm{Mod}(S)$$

defined by $\Psi(\beta) = [\widetilde{f}_\beta]$. Let $\Theta = \Theta_k : \mathrm{Mod}(S) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ be an automorphism given by $\Theta([f]) = [\iota_k \circ f \circ \iota_k^{-1}]$. Define

$$\mathrm{SMod}(S) \;=\; \left\{ [f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S) \;\middle|\; f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S) \text{ and } \Theta([f]) = [f] \right\}$$

and call it the *symmetric mapping class group.*

The following fact is attributed to Birman and Hilden.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The homomorphism* $\Psi$ *is injective and onto* $\mathrm{SMod}(S)$.

*Proof.* The injectivity of $\Psi$ follows from [2]. A homeomorphism $f : S \to S$ is called *fiber-preserving* if $\pi \circ f(p) = \pi \circ f(p')$ for any $p, p' \in S$ with $\pi(p) = \pi(p')$. Suppose that $f_0, f_1 \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(D, P)$ satisfy $\Psi([f_0]) = \Psi([f_1])$. According to [2, Theorem 1], since the lifts $\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{f}_1 \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ represent the same element of $\mathrm{SMod}(S)$ and are fiber-preserving, there exists a fiber-preserving isotopy $g_t \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ between $\tilde{f}_0$ and $\tilde{f}_1$. Since $g_t$ is fiber-preserving the composition $\pi \circ g_t \circ \pi^{-1}$ is a well-defined homeomorphism of $(D, P)$ and it gives an isotopy between $f_0$ and $f_1$; hence, $[f_0] = [f_1] \in B_n$.

To see $\mathtt{Im}(\Psi) \supset \mathrm{SMod}(S)$, assume that $f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ satisfies $[f] = [\iota \circ f \circ \iota^{-1}]$. The same argument as in the proof of [2, Theorem 3] with Teichmüller's theorem for bordered surfaces [1, page 59] shows that $[f]$ can be represented by a homeomorphism $f' \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ such that $f' = \iota \circ f' \circ \iota^{-1}$.

For $x \in D$ let $\tilde{x} \in \pi^{-1}(x) \subset S$ be a pre-image of $x$ under the branched covering map $\pi : S \to D$. Define a homeomorphism $b \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(D)$ by

$$b(x) = \pi(f'(\tilde{x})).$$

Since $\pi \circ f' \circ \iota(\tilde{x}) = \pi \circ \iota \circ f'(\tilde{x}) = \pi \circ f'(\tilde{x})$, the image $b(x)$ is independent of the choice of the pre-image $\tilde{x}$.

We observe that $b$ acts on the branch set $P$ as a permutation. Suppose that $p \in P$ and $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}$ satisfy $\pi(\tilde{p}) = p$. Since $\iota$ fixes the branch set $\tilde{P}$ point-wise we have $\iota(f'(\tilde{p})) = f'(\iota(\tilde{p})) = f'(\tilde{p})$. That is, $f'(\tilde{p})$ is a fixed point of $\iota$ and $f'(\tilde{p}) \in \tilde{P}$. We get $b(p) = \pi(f'(\tilde{p})) \in \pi(\tilde{P}) = P$ which shows $b(P) = P$.

Since $\pi \circ f' = b \circ \pi$ the map $f' \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ is the unique lift of $b \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(D; P)$. We obtain $[f] = [f'] = \Psi([b]) \in \mathtt{Im}(\Psi)$.

To see $\mathtt{Im}(\Psi) \subset \mathrm{SMod}(S)$, let $\alpha_l^i$ be an simple closed curve that goes through $i$th and $(i+1)$th sheets and $l$th and $l+1$st twisted bands as depicted in Figure 1. Let $t_{i,l} := t_{\alpha_l^i} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ be a positive Dehn twist about $\alpha_l^i$. It is shown in [15, Lemma 3.1] that for the standard braid generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ of the Artin braid group $B_n$ we have

$$\Psi(\sigma_i) = [t_{i,1} \circ t_{i,2} \circ \cdots \circ t_{i,k-1}] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S).$$

For $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, k$ let $\lambda_l^j$ (see Figure 1) be properly embedded arcs on the $l$th band between $j$th and $j+1$st sheets such that $\iota(\lambda_l^j) = \lambda_{l+1}^j$. Let $[\lambda_l^j]$ denote the isotopy class of $\lambda_l^j$ relative to $\partial S$. We get

$$\left[\iota^{-1} \circ (t_{i,1} \circ t_{i,2} \circ \cdots \circ t_{i,k-1}) \circ \iota\right] \left[\lambda_l^j\right] = \left[t_{i,1} \circ t_{i,2} \circ \cdots \circ t_{i,k-1}\right] \left[\lambda_l^j\right]$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $l = 1, \ldots, k$. Knowing that the surface $S$ cut along the union of arcs $\cup_{j,l} \lambda_l^j$ yields $n$ disjoint disks, the Alexander trick [8, Proposition 2.8]

implies that $[\iota^{-1} \circ (t_{i,1} \circ t_{i,2} \circ \cdots \circ t_{i,k-1}) \circ \iota] = [t_{i,1} \circ t_{i,2} \circ \cdots \circ t_{i,k-1}]$. This shows that $\Psi(\sigma_i) \in \mathrm{SMod}(S)$. □

Using the homomorphism $\Psi : B_n \to \mathrm{SMod}(S)$, Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris consider various submonoids in $B_n$ in [7].

$$\begin{aligned} P(n) &:= \{b \in B_n \mid b \text{ is a positive braid }\} \\ QP(n) &:= \{b \in B_n \mid b \text{ is a quasi-positive braid }\} \\ Dehn^+(n,k) &:= \Psi^{-1}(Dehn^+(S)) \\ Tight^+(n,k) &:= \Psi^{-1}(Tight^+(S)) \\ RV(n) &:= \{b \in B_n \mid b \text{ is a right-veering braid }\} \\ Veer^+(n,k) &:= \Psi^{-1}(Veer^+(S)) \end{aligned}$$

Here, a braid $b \in B_n$ is *positive* if it is a product of standard generators $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$, and is *quasi-positive* if it is a product of conjugates of $\sigma_1$. Also, $Dehn^+(S) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is a monoid generated by positive Dehn twists, $Tight^+(S) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is a monoid consisting of monodromies supporting tight contact structures, and $Veer^+(S) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is a monoid consisting of right-veering mapping classes.

Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris observe that [15, Lemma 3.1] shows the following:

**Proposition 2.2.** *For all $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$ we have $QP(n) \subset Dehn^+(n,k)$.*

Also, one can see that $\Psi(b)$ is right-veering if and only if $b$ is right-veering (see [17, Section 7] for the definition(s) of right-veering braids).

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have $Veer^+(n,k) = RV(n)$ for all $n$ and $k$.*

In summary, we have:

$$P(n) \subset QP(n) \subset Dehn^+(n,k) \subset Tight(n,k) \subset Veer^+(n,k) = RV(n) \subsetneq B_n.$$

It is easy to see $P(n) \subsetneq QP(n)$ for $n > 2$. In [16, Example 2.9], the strictness of the inclusion $QP(3) \subset Veer^+(3,2)$ is shown. In general, both the inclusions $Dehn^+(n,k) \subset Tight(n,k) \subset Veer^+(n,k)$ are strict as we show below:

Let $\beta = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^6\sigma_1^{-13} \in B_3$. By [16, Theorem 1.2] the braid $\beta$ is in $Tight(3,2)$ since the fractional Dehn twist coefficient of $\Psi(\beta) \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is one. However, $\beta$ is not in $Dehn^+(3,2)$ since its exponent sum is negative which means $b \notin QP(3) = Dehn^+(3,2)$, where the equality $QP(3) = Dehn^+(3,2)$ will be proved in Theorem 3.2. (See [16, Corollary 3.6] for a better criterion for $b \notin QP(n)$ than a naive exponent sum argument.)

To see $Tight(n,k) \subsetneq Veer^+(n,k)$, recall [19, Proposition 3.1] (for the open book $(D^2, id)$) and [17, Proposition 3.14] (for general open books) that every braid with

respect to an open book is transversely isotopic to a right-veering braid after suitable positive stabilizations. Let $\beta \in B_n$ be a right-veering braid that is a stabilization of $\sigma_1^{-1} \in B_2$. Then for each $k \geq 2$, $\beta$ is in $Veer^+(n,k)$ but not in $Tight(n,k)$.

With these terminologies, Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris' question in Section 1 is equivalent to whether the other inclusion $QP(n) \supset Dehn^+(n,k)$ holds or not.

Our particular branched covering $\pi : S \to D$ is closely related to the cyclic branched covering of the standard contact 3-sphere $(S^3, \xi_{std} = \ker \alpha_{std})$. Let $K = \widehat{b}$ be a transverse knot in $(S^3, \xi_{std})$ represented by the closure $\widehat{b}$ of an $n$-braid $b \in B_n$ with respect to the open book $(D^2, id)$. Let $p : M_{K,k} \to S^3$ be the cyclic $k$-fold branched covering, branched along $K$. Then $M_{K,k}$ is equipped with a contact structure $\xi_{K,k}$ that is a perturbation of the kernel of the pull-back $p^*(\alpha_{std})$. Such a contact structure is supported by the open book $(S, \Psi(b))$. Thus, $(M_{K,k}, \xi_{K,k}) \simeq (M_{(S,\Psi(b))}, \xi_{(S,\Psi(b))})$.

Let $B^4 (\subset \mathbb{C}^4)$ be the unit complex ball giving a Stein filling of $(S^3, \xi_{std})$. If the braid $b \in B_n$ is quasi-positive, a factorization of $b$ as a product of positive half twists gives rise to an immersed Seifert surface of $K = \widehat{b}$ with ribbon intersections as shown in [7, Figure 9]. Pushing this surface into the interior of $B^4$ we have a properly embedded symplectic surface $\Sigma$ in $B^4$ such that $\Sigma \cap \partial B^4 = \partial \Sigma = K$. Let $X$ be the $k$-fold cyclic branched cover of $B^4$ branched along $\Sigma$. Then $X$ gives a Stein filling of $(M_{K,k}, \xi_{K,k})$

The question of Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris is related to a question about Stein filling and $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$-action in the following sense:

Assume that $b \in Dehn^+(n,k)$. Then $(M_{K,k}, \xi_{K,k}) \simeq (M_{(S,\Psi(b))}, \xi_{(S,\Psi(b))})$ is Stein fillable because $(M_{(S,\Psi(b))}, \xi_{(S,\Psi(b))})$ is obtained by applying Legendrian surgeries to $(M_{(S,id)}, \xi_{(S,id)})$, which is Stein fillable, and a Legendrian surgery in general preserves Stein fillability [5] (see also [12, Theorem 1.3]). The contact manifold $(M_{K,k}, \xi_{K,k})$ also admits an $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$-action as a contactomorphism with the quotient space $(S^3, \xi_{std})$. Our question is: Can we find a Stein filling $X$ coming from the above construction; namely, can the action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ on $(M_{K,k}, \xi_{K,k})$ extend to a holomorphic $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$-action on $X$ with the quotient space $B^4$?

## 3. Positive answers for simples cases

**Theorem 3.1.** *For all $k \geq 2$ we have $P(2) = QP(2) = Dehn^+(2,k) = Tight(2,k) = RV(2)$ .*

*Proof.* The first equality $P(2) = QP(2)$ is obvious.

By Proposition 2.2 we only need prove $QP(2) \supset Dehn^+(2,k)$. Take a braid $b \in B_2 \setminus QP(2)$. There exists a positive integer $m$ such that $b = (\sigma_1)^{-m}$. Let $T_i = [t_{\alpha_i^1}] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$. By Lemma 3.1 of [15] we have $\Psi(b) = (T_1 \circ T_2 \circ \cdots \circ T_{k-1})^{-m}$. Clearly $(T_1 \circ \cdots \circ T_{k-1})^{-m} \notin Veer^+(S)$. Since $Dehn^+(S) \subset Veer^+(S)$ we have $\Psi(b) \notin Dehn^+(S)$; hence, $b \notin Dehn^+(2,k)$. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *For $n \leq 4$, $QP(n) = Dehn^+(n,2)$.*

The equality $QP(3) = Dehn^+(3,2)$ has been known and used in the literature. However for sake of completeness, we give a proof of this case along with the case of $n = 4$.

*Proof.* Since the $n = 2$ case is shown in Theorem 3.1 we may assume $n = 3$ or 4.

Let $a_1, a_2, \delta$ (for the $n = 3$ case) and $a_1, a_2, a_3, \delta_1, \delta_2$ (for the $n = 4$ case) be simple closed curves on $S$ as depicted in Figure 2. The Dehn twists about these curves generate $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$, and $\Psi(\sigma_i) = T_{a_i}$ holds.




FIGURE 2

If $b \in Dehn^+(n,2)$ then there exist non-boundary parallel simple closed curves $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m \subset S$ (possibly $\Gamma_i = \Gamma_j$ for $i \neq j$) and $x, y \geq 0$ such that

$$\Psi(b) = \begin{cases} T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m} \circ T_\delta^x & (n = 3) \\ T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m} \circ T_{\delta_1}^x \circ T_{\delta_2}^y & (n = 4). \end{cases}$$

We may assume that all of the curves $\Gamma_i$ are non-separating since the positive Dehn twist about a separating curve can be written as a product of the positive Dehn twists about non-separating curves. Since $a_1$ is non-separating $\Gamma_i = f_i(a_1)$ for some $f_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$. We can write $f_i$ as

$$f_i = T_{a_{j_l}}^{\varepsilon_l} \circ \cdots \circ T_{a_{j_1}}^{\varepsilon_1}$$

for some $j_p \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $\varepsilon_p \in \{\pm 1\}$. Since the Dehn twist along the boundary does not affect simple closed curves in $S$, the words $T_\delta$, $T_{\delta_1}$ and $T_{\delta_2}$ are not needed

in the expression. Note that $f_i = \Psi(b_i)$ for $b_i = \sigma_{j_l}^{\varepsilon_l} \cdots \sigma_{j_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \in B_n$. Therefore, we have

$$T_{\Gamma_i} = T_{f(a_1)} = f_i \circ T_{a_1} \circ f_i^{-1} = \Psi(b_i)\Psi(\sigma_1)\Psi(b_i^{-1}) = \Psi(b_i\sigma_1 b_i^{-1})$$

and $T_{\Gamma_i} \in \Psi(QP(n))$ for all $i$. In particular, $\Theta(T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m}) = T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m}$ by Proposition 2.1.

For the case $n = 4$, since $\iota(\delta_1) = \delta_2$, $\Theta(T_{\delta_1}) = T_{\delta_2}$ and $\Theta(T_{\delta_2}) = T_{\delta_1}$ which give

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m} \circ T_{\delta_1}^x \circ T_{\delta_2}^y &= \Theta(T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m} \circ T_{\delta_1}^x \circ T_{\delta_2}^y) \\ &= \Theta(T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m}) \circ \Theta(T_{\delta_1}^x) \circ \Theta(T_{\delta_2}^y) \\ &= T_{\Gamma_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\Gamma_m} \circ T_{\delta_2}^x \circ T_{\delta_1}^y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x = y$.

With the chain relations [8, Proposition 4.12] $T_\delta = \Psi((\sigma_1\sigma_2)^6)$ and $T_{\delta_1}^x T_{\delta_2}^x = \Psi((\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^{4x})$, we can conclude $b \in QP(n)$. □

## 4. Roots in quasi-positive braids

In this section we address the following question. Among the results, Corollary 4.6 plays an important role to prove our main theorems in Section 5.

**Question 4.1.** Are $QP(n)$ and $Dehn^+(n,k)$ closed under taking roots? That is,

(1) Does $b = x^d \in QP(n)$ with an integer $d \geq 2$ imply $x \in QP(n)$?

(2) Does $x^d \in Dehn^+(n,k)$ with an integer $d \geq 2$ imply $x \in Dehn^+(n,k)$?

**Definition 4.2.** We say that a quasi-positive braid $b \in QP(n)$ has the property **(QP-root)** if the following condition is satisfied.

$$\text{If } b = x^d \text{ for } x \in B_n \text{ and } d \geq 2 \text{ then } x \in QP(n).$$

It is shown that the $d$-th root of a braid is unique up to conjugacy in [13, Theorem 1.1]; namely, $x^d = y^d \in B_n$ implies that $x$ and $y$ are conjugate to each other. This leads to the following observation.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For $x, x' \in B_n$ assume that $x^d = x'^d$. If $x \in QP(n)$ then $x' \in QP(n)$.*

Let $b \in B_n$ be a non-periodic reducible braid. According to [14, Definition 5.1], up to conjugacy, $b$ is in the following *regular form*.

Let $C$ be an essential multi-curve in the $n$-punctured disk $D_n$ such that $b(C)$ is isotopic to $C$ and any simple closed curve which has non-zero geometric intersection number with $C$ must not be preserved by any power of $b$. Such a multi-curve $C$ is

called the *canonical reduction system* for $b$ and studied in [4]. It always exists for a non-periodic reducible braid [18].

Let $\mathcal{A}'$ be the set of outermost curves of $C$. There could exist punctures in $D_n$ not enclosed by any circle in $\mathcal{A}'$. Define the system of curves $\mathcal{A}$ to be the union of $\mathcal{A}'$ and one circle around each such puncture of $D_n$. We may number the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_{1,1}, \dots, a_{1,r_1}, a_{2,1}, \dots, a_{2,r_2}, \dots, a_{c,1}, \dots, a_{c,r_c}\}$$

so that $b(a_{i,j}) = a_{i,j+1}$ $(j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1)$ and $b(a_{i,r_i}) = a_{i,1}$. This action of $b$ on $\mathcal{A}$ gives $m = r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_c$ disjoint braided tubes (see Figure 3 (i)). Identifying each tube with a string, we get an $m$-braid $\widehat{b} \in B_m$ which we call the *tubular braid* associated to $\mathcal{A}$. By the nature of the canonical reduction system, this braid $\widehat{b}$ is unique up to conjugacy.




FIGURE 3. (i) Non-periodic and reducible braid in regular form. (ii) Taking a conjugate the condition (4.1) is satisfied.

The braid closure of $\widehat{b}$ gives a $c$-component link. The braid closure of the original braid $b$ can be viewed as a satellite of the $c$-component link. Let $b_{i,j}$ denote the braiding inside the tube which starts at $a_{i,j}$ and ends at $a_{i,j+1}$ (where the indices $j$ are considered up to modulo $r_i$), which we call the *interior braids*.

We say that $b$ is in *regular form* if

(4.1) the only non-trivial interior braids are $b_{1,r_1}, \dots, b_{c,r_c}$.

We denote these non-trivial interior braids by $b_{[1]}, \dots, b_{[c]}$. The condition (4.1) can be realized by shifting non-trivial interior braid $b_{i,j}$ $(j \neq r_i)$ along the closure of the tubular braid $\widehat{b}$, which is equivalent to taking a conjugate (see Figure 3 (ii)). In this process, the tubular braid $\widehat{b}$ remains the same and

$$b_{[i]} = b_{i,1} b_{i,2} \cdots b_{i,r_i} \text{ (up to conjugacy).} \tag{4.2}$$

**Remark 1.** In [14] a regular form requires one more property that if $b_{[i]}$ and $b_{[j]}$ are conjugate then $b_{[i]} = b_{[j]}$. But we do not use this property in this paper.

Here is a simple observation.

**Lemma 4.4.** *If a braid $b$ is in regular form with quasi-positive tubular braid and interior braids then $b$ is also quasi-positive.*

The converse of Lemma 4.4 is not true. The reducible 4-braid $(\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_2^{-1})(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}) = (\sigma_2\sigma_1\sigma_3\sigma_2)\sigma_1^{-2}$ is quasi-positive and in regular form with the tubular braid $\sigma_1 \in B_2$ and the interior braid $\sigma_1^{-2} \in B_2$.

The next proposition gives a criterion of the property **(QP-root)**.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let $b$ be a non-periodic reducible, quasi-positive braid. If $b$ has a regular form such that all of its tubular and interior braids are quasi-positive and having the property* **(QP-root)**, *then $b$ also has the property* **(QP-root)**.

*Proof.* Let $b$ be a non-periodic reducible, quasi-positive braid. Assume that $b = x^d$ for some $x \in B_n$ and $d \geq 1$. We will show that $x$ is quasi-positive. Suppose that $b$ is in regular form with quasi-positive tubular braid $\widehat{b} \in B_m$ and quasi-positive interior braids $b_{[1]}, \cdots, b_{[c]}$ all of which have the property **(QP-root)**.

Since $b$ is non-periodic and reducible, the root $x$ is also non-periodic and reducible. We may assume that $x$ is in regular form with tubular braid $\widehat{x} \in B_m$ and interior braids $x_{[1]}, \dots, x_{[c']}$. Since $b = x^d$ we see that $c' \leq c$ and $\widehat{b}$ is conjugate to $(\widehat{x})^d$. That is, there exists $y \in B_m$ such that $\widehat{b} = y(\widehat{x})^d y^{-1} = (y\widehat{x}y^{-1})^d$. By the property **(QP-root)** of $\widehat{b}$, the tubular braid $y\widehat{x}y^{-1}$ (hence, $\widehat{x}$) is quasi-positive.

As for the interior braids, each $b_{[i]}$ is conjugate to a power of some single interior braid $x_{[i']}$. Moreover, for each $i' \in \{1, \dots, c'\}$ there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$ such that $b_{[i]}$ is conjugate to a power of $x_{[i']}$. Therefore, by the property **(QP-root)** of $b_{[1]}, \dots, b_{[c]}$, all the interior braids $x_{[1]}, \dots, x_{[c']}$ are quasi-positive.

By Lemma 4.4 we conclude that $x$ is quasi-positive. □

A classical theorem of Keréjártó and Eilenberg states that any root of a periodic $n$-braid is conjugate to either $(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})^i$ or $(\sigma_1^2\sigma_2\sigma_3 \cdots \sigma_{n-1})^i$ for some $i$. Thus,

every periodic braid has the property **(QP-root)**. This fact and Proposition 4.5 imply the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let $b \in QP(n)$ admitting a factorization $b = T_{a_1}^{N_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{a_p}^{N_p} \in \mathrm{Mod}(D_n) = B_n$ where $a_1, \ldots, a_p$ are pairwise disjoint simple closed curves in $D_n$ and $N_1, \cdots, N_p > 0$. If $b = x^d$ for some $d \geq 2$ then $x \in QP(n)$.*

The above results show that Question 4.1, whether a quasi-positive braid has the property **(QP-root)**, is reduced to pseudo-Anosov braids.

The next proposition gives a potential negative answer to Question 4.1.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let $b \in B_n$ be a pseudo-Anosov quasi-positive braid. Let $Ab : B_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ denote the abelianization (exponent sum) map. If $b = x^d$ for some $x \in B_n$ and $d > 1$ with $Ab(x) = 1$ then $b$ does not have the property* **(QP-root)**.

*Proof.* Since $Ab(x) = 1$, if $x$ is quasi-positive then $x$ must be the positive half twist about a simple arc connecting two distinct punctures of $D_n$. Such a mapping class $x$ is reducible. Hence, $x^d$ is reducible and $b = x^d$ cannot be pseudo-Anosov. □

At this time of writing, we do not know whether a pseudo-Anosov quasi-positive braid that satisfies the assumption of Proposition 4.7 exists or not.

## 5. Sufficient conditions for quasi-positive

In this section, we study quasi-positive braids admitting certain symmetric conditions. Throughout this section, we fix a hyperbolic structure on $S$ so that the deck transformation $\iota = \iota_k : S \to S$ is an isometry (see [9, Theorem 11.6]). Let $S' \subsetneq S$ be a connected subsurface of $S$ that satisfies one of the following.

- $S'$ is an annular neighborhood of a geodesic simple closed curve in $S$.
- $S'$ is a hyperbolic surface with geodesic boundary and the inclusion $S' \hookrightarrow S$ is an isometry.

Note that the surface $S'_i := \iota^{i-1}(S') \subset S$ also satisfies the same property. For $f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S')$ let $\widehat{f} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ be a homeomorphism extending $f$ such that $\widehat{f}(x) = x$ for $x \in S \setminus S'$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$ let

$$f_i := \iota^{i-1} \circ \widehat{f} \circ \iota^{-i+1} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S).$$

Our goal is to study elements $\Psi(b) = [\phi] \in \mathrm{SMod}(S) \cap Dehn^+(S)$ of the form

$$\phi = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$$

and find sufficient conditions that guarantees $b \in QP(n)$.

We first study the following special case.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let $C$ be a simple closed geodesic curve in $S$ such that $C, \iota(C), \dots, \iota^{e-1}(C)$ are pairwise disjoint with $\iota^e(C) = C$ for some $e \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ that divides $k$. Let $d, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $b^d \in Dehn^+(n,k)$ with*

$$\Psi(b^d) = \left(T_C \circ T_{\iota(C)} \circ T_{\iota^2(C)} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\iota^{e-1}(C)}\right)^j.$$

*Then $b \in QP(n)$.*

When $e = 1$ we get the following.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let $j, d \in \mathbb{N}$. If $b^d \in Dehn^+(n,k)$ with $\Psi(b^d) = T_C^j$ then $b \in QP(n)$.*

By Proposition 2.1 it is easy to see that $\Psi(b^d) = T_C^j$ implies $\iota(C) = C$.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* Since $C$ is simple and $\pi^{-1}(\pi(C)) = C \sqcup \iota(C) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \iota^{e-1}(C)$, the projection $\pi(C)$ is also simple.

First, we treat an exceptional case where the projection $\pi(C)$ is a simple proper arc in the $n$-punctured disk $D_n := D \setminus P$ connecting two distinct punctures. This can be realized only if $k = 2$, $e = 1$ and $C$ is a non-separating simple closed curve in $S$. Let $h \in QP(n)$ be the braid represented by a positive half twist about the arc $\pi(C)$. We have $\Psi(h) = T_C$. Thus, $\Psi(b^d) = T_C^j = \Psi(h^j)$. Since $\Psi$ is injective (Proposition 2.1) $b^d = h^j \in QP(n)$. Let $Ab : B_n \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the abelianization map defined by $Ab(\sigma_i^{\pm 1}) = \pm 1$. Since $Ab(h) = 1$ we get $Ab(b) \cdot d = j$ and $\Psi(b^d) = \Psi(h^j) = \Psi((h^{Ab(b)})^d)$. Proposition 4.3 implies that $b \in QP(n)$.

Next, suppose that the projection $\pi(C)$ is a simple closed curve in the punctured disk $D_n$. Let $k' = \frac{k}{e}$. Since the map $\pi$ restricted to each connected component of $\pi^{-1}(\pi(C))$ is a $k' : 1$ cover we have

$$\Psi((T_{\pi(C)})^{k'}) = T_C \circ T_{\iota(C)} \circ T_{\iota^2(C)} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\iota^{e-1}(C)}. \tag{5.1}$$

Hence,

$$\Psi(b^d) = (T_C \circ T_{\iota(C)} \circ T_{\iota^2(C)} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\iota^{e-1}(C)})^j = \Psi((T_{\pi(C)})^{k'j}).$$

Proposition 2.1 gives $b^d = (T_{\pi(C)})^{k'j}$. By Corollary 4.6, $b \in QP(n)$. □

Next, we study a more general case. Recall that $f \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S')$, $S'_i := \iota^{i-1}(S') \subset S$, and $f_i := \iota^{i-1} \circ \widehat{f} \circ \iota^{-i+1} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that $[f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ is pseudo-Anosov. Any centralizer $[g] \in Z([f]) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ of $[f]$ is either pseudo-Anosov or periodic*

*Proof.* Suppose that $[f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ is pseudo-Anosov and $[g] \in Z([f])$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the stable foliation of $[f]$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is preserved under $[f]$ we have $[f][g](\mathcal{F}) = [g][f](\mathcal{F}) = [g](\mathcal{F})$, which means that the foliation $[g](\mathcal{F})$ is either $\mathcal{F}$ itself or the unstable foliation of $[f]$. In either way, the homeomorphism $g \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S')$ is freely isotopic to a pseudo-Anosov map or a periodic map. □

**Theorem 5.4.** *Suppose that the surfaces $S'_1, \dots, S'_k$ are pairwise distinct. Assume that $[f] \in Dehn^+(S')$ is either*

- *a non-negative power of a single Dehn twist (i.e., $S'$ is an annulus which is neighborhood of a simple closed geodesic curve), or*
- *a pseudo-Anosov map (i.e., $S'$ is a hyperbolic surface).*

*Suppose that $b \in Dehn^+(n,k)$ satisfies*

$$\Psi(b) = [f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k]$$

*then $b \in QP(n)$.*

*Proof.* There are two cases to consider.

**Case 1:** $S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_k$ are pairwise disjoint.

Since $[f] \in Dehn^+(S')$ we may write $[f_1] = T_{C_1} \cdots T_{C_l}$ for some simple closed curves $C_1, \dots, C_l \subset S'$. We get $[f_j] = [\iota^{j-1} \widehat{f} \iota^{-j+1}] = T_{\iota^{j-1}(C_1)} \cdots T_{\iota^{j-1}(C_l)}$. Since $S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_k$ are pairwise disjoint, if $j \neq j'$ then $T_{\iota^j(C_i)}$ and $T_{\iota^{j'}(C_{i'})}$ commute for every $i, i'$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(b) &= [f_1 \cdots f_k] \\ &= (T_{C_1} \cdots T_{C_l})(T_{\iota(C_1)} \cdots T_{\iota(C_l)}) \cdots (T_{\iota^{k-1}(C_1)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(C_l)}) \\ &= (T_{C_1} T_{\iota(C_1)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(C_1)}) \cdots (T_{C_l} T_{\iota(C_l)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(C_l)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S'_1, S'_2, \dots, S'_k$ are pairwise disjoint, the projection $\pi(C_i)$ is a simple closed curve in $D_n$, and we can use the second-half argument in the proof of Theorem 5.1. By the formula (5.1) we get $\Psi(T_{\pi(C_i)}) = T_{C_i} T_{\iota(C_i)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(C_i)}$. Proposition 2.1 gives $b = T_{\pi(C_1)} \cdots T_{\pi(C_l)} \in QP(n)$.

**Case 2:** $S'_1 \cap S'_p \neq \emptyset$ for some $p \in \{2, \dots, k\}$.

First we note that $[f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k] = \Psi(b) \in \mathrm{SMod}(S)$ implies that

$$[f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k] = [\iota(f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k)\iota^{-1}] = [f_2 f_3 \cdots f_k f_1] = \cdots = [f_k f_1 \cdots f_{k-1}].$$

In particular, we have

$$\Psi(b)[f_i] = [f_i]\Psi(b) \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\nu$ be the minimal subsurface of $S$ such that

- $\nu$ contains $S'_1 \cup S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup S'_k$ and
- $\partial\nu$ is geodesic.

Since $\iota(S'_1 \cup S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup S'_k) = S'_1 \cup S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup S'_k$ we have $\iota(\nu) = \nu$. In particular, the boundary $\partial\nu$ is a multi-curve invariant under $\iota$.

For simplicity, we put $\psi := f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$.

**Claim 5.5.** *$\psi(S'_i)$ is isotopic to $S'_i$.*

We will prove Claim 5.5 after the completion of the proof of Theorem 5.4.

By Claim 5.5 there is a homeomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S)$ which is isotopic to $\psi$ and preserves $S'_i$ setwise. Although $\phi$ may permute components of $\partial S'_i$, we may assume that there exists $d_0 > 0$ such that $\phi^{d_0} = id$ on $\partial S'_1 \cup \partial S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup \partial S'_k$. Let

$$\phi_i := \phi^{d_0}|_{S'_i} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S'_i). \tag{5.3}$$

**Claim 5.6.** *For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ there is $d_i > 0$ such that $\phi_i^{d_i}$ is isotopic to a product of Dehn twists about the boundary components of $S'_i$. Namely,*

$$[\phi_i^{d_i}] = \prod_{C \subset \partial S'_i} T_C^{N(C)} \in \mathrm{Mod}(S'_i) \quad \text{for some } N(C) \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.4}$$

*Moreover, the exponent $N(C) = 0$ if $C$ is essential in the minimal subsurface $\nu$. Therefore, if $S'$ is an annulus then $[\phi_i^{d_i}] = id$.*

We will prove the claim after the completion of the proof of Theorem 5.4.

Let $d'$ be the least common multiple of $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ found in Claim 5.6. Put $d = d_0 d'$. As a consequence of Claim 5.6 the map $\phi^d|_{S_i} = \phi_i^{d'} \in \mathrm{Homeo}^+(S'_i)$ is isotopic to a product of Dehn twists along common components of $\partial S'_i$ and $\partial\nu$. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ denote the boundary components of $\nu$. We obtain

$$[\phi^d] = [T_{C_1}^{N(1)} T_{C_2}^{N(2)} \cdots T_{C_m}^{N(m)}]. \tag{5.5}$$

Since $[f] \in Dehn^+(S')$ we see that $[\phi] = [f_1 \cdots f_k]$ is right-veering and $N(i) \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

We define an equivalence relation $C_i \sim C_{i'}$ if $\pi(C_i) = \pi(C_{i'}) \subset D_n$ and let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \ldots, C_m\}/\sim$. For $C \in \{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_m\}$ let $[C] \in \mathcal{C}$ be its equivalence class and $e(C) \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest positive integer such that $\iota^{e(C)}(C) = C$. Since $\iota(\partial\nu) = \partial\nu$ we note that $C, \iota(C), \ldots, \iota^{e(C)-1}(C) \subset \partial\nu = C_1 \sqcup C_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup C_m$. Put

$$T_{[C]} := T_C T_{\iota(C)} \cdots T_{\iota^{e(C)-1}(C)}.$$

The fact $[\phi] \in \mathrm{SMod}(S)$ implies that $N(i) = N(j)$ if $C_i \sim C_j$. We may define non-negative integers $N([C_i]) := N(i)$. The description (5.5) can be restated as

$$[\phi^d] = \prod_{[C]\in\mathcal{C}} T_{[C]}^{N([C])} \in \mathrm{Mod}(S).$$

Recall that the projection $\pi(C)$ is a simple closed curve or a simple proper arc in $D_n$ joining distinct punctures. This is because $\pi^{-1}\pi(C) \subset \partial\nu$ is a simple closed multi-curve.

If $\pi(C)$ is a simple closed curve, put $b_C := (T_{\pi(C)})^{\frac{k}{e(C)}} \in QP(n)$. Then by (5.1) we have $\Psi(b_C) = \Psi((T_{\pi(C)})^{\frac{k}{e(C)}}) = T_{[C]}$.

If $\pi(C)$ is a simple arc, i.e., $k = 2$ and $e(C) = 1$, put $b_C := h_{\pi(C)} \in QP(n)$ the positive half twist about $\pi(C)$. Then we have $\Psi(b_C) = \Psi(h_{\pi(C)}) = T_C = T_{[C]}$.

For either case, we have

$$\Psi(b^d) = [\phi^d] = \Psi\left(\prod_{[C]\in\mathcal{C}} (b_C)^{N([C])}\right).$$

For distinct $[C]$ and $[C']$ the projections $\pi(C)$ and $\pi(C')$ are disjoint because $C_1, \ldots, C_m$ are pairwise disjoint. Hence, by Proposition 2.1 the braid $b^{2d}$ is a product of positive Dehn twists about pairwise disjoint simple closed curves. (We consider $b^{2d}$ rather than $b^d$ so that if $\pi(C)$ is an arc the half twist about $\pi(C)$ becomes a Dehn twist about a closed curve enclosing $\pi(C)$.) Corollary 4.6 shows that $b \in QP(n)$. □

*Proof of Claim 5.5.* Suppose that $S'$ is an annulus which is a neighborhood of a simple closed geodesic curve $C$ and $[f] = (T_C)^m$. By (5.2) we have $(T_{\psi(C)})^m = (\psi \circ T_C \circ \psi^{-1})^m = \psi \circ (T_C)^m \circ \psi^{-1} = (T_C)^m$, and $\psi(C)$ is isotopic to $C$. Hence, $\psi(S'_i)$ is isotopic to $S'_i$.

Next, suppose that $[f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ is pseudo-Anosov. The property (5.2) implies that $f_i\psi(S'_i)$ is isotopic to $\psi f_i(S'_i) = \psi(S'_i)$. Then either $\psi(S'_i)$ is isotopic to $S'_i$, or by isotopy one can make $\psi(S'_i)$ and $S'_i$ disjoint. The latter possibility cannot be realized by the following reason. Take an essential simple closed curve $\alpha \subset S'_i$. If we can make $\psi(S'_i)$ disjoint from $S'_i$ by isotopy, then $\psi(\alpha)$ can also be disjoint from $S'_i$. However, by (5.2) $\alpha = f_i f_i^{-1}\psi^{-1}\psi(\alpha) = f_i\psi^{-1}f_i^{-1}(\psi(\alpha)) = f_i\psi^{-1}(\psi(\alpha)) = f_i(\alpha)$. This contradicts the assumption that $[f]$ is pseudo-Anosov. □

*Proof of Claim 5.6.* In the case where $S'$ is an annulus, (5.4) is a direct consequence of Claim 5.5.

Assume that $[f] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ is pseudo-Anosov and $S'$ is not an annulus. By the symmetry, it is enough to prove the statement (5.4) for the case $i = 1$. Recall that $S'_1 \neq S'_p$ and $S'_1 \cap S'_p \neq \emptyset$. Let $D$ be a connected component of $S'_1 \cap S'_p$. Since $\phi^{d_0} = id$ on $\partial S'_1 \cup \partial S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup \partial S'_k$ and $\partial D \subset (\partial S'_1 \cup \partial S'_2 \cup \cdots \cup \partial S'_k)$ we have $\phi^{d_0}(D) = D$ and $\phi^{d_0} = id$ on $\partial D$.

Since $\partial S'_1$ is geodesic $D$ cannot be a bigon or an annulus. Let $\Gamma = \partial(S'_1 \setminus D)$. Then $\Gamma$ is a simple closed multi-curve in $S'_1$. Since $S'_1$ is not an annulus $\Gamma$ contains an arc $\gamma$ which is essential in $S'_1$. Since $\phi^{d_0} = id$ on $\partial D$ the curve $\phi_1(\gamma) = \phi^{d_0}(\gamma)$ is isotopic to $\gamma$; hence, the mapping class $[\phi_1] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ cannot be pseudo-Anosov.

On the other hand, $[f] = [f_1|_{S'_1}] \in \mathrm{Mod}(S')$ is pseudo-Anosov, and by (5.2) $[\phi_1] \in Z([f]) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S')$. Since $[\phi_1]$ is not pseudo-Anosov, Lemma 5.3 shows that $[\phi_1]$ is periodic. Namely, there is $d_1 > 0$ such that $[\phi_1^{d_1}]$ is a product of Dehn twists about the boundary components of $S'_1$ and we obtain (5.4).

Next we show the second statement of the claim. The surface $S'$ is either annular or hyperbolic. Suppose that a boundary component $C$ of $S'_1$ is an essential curve in the surface $\nu$. Since $C$ is not a boundary component of $\nu$ and $\nu$ is the minimal surface containing $S'_1 \cup \cdots \cup S'_k$, there must exist $p \neq 1$ such that $C \cap S'_p \neq \emptyset$.

Note that $C$ cannot be a boundary component of $S'_p$ (that is, one side of $C$ is $S'_1$ and the other side of $C$ is $S'_p$) because in such a case the quotient space $S/\iota$ cannot be a topological disk.

If $C$ is not isotopic to a boundary component of $S'_p$ then the descriptions of $[\phi_1^{d_1}]$ and $[\phi_p^{d_p}]$ in (5.4) and the definition of $\phi_i$ in (5.3) show that $N(C) = 0$.

If $C$ transversely intersects a boundary component, say $C'$, of $S'_p$ we also get $N(C) = 0$, because otherwise (5.3) and (5.4) show that $\phi(C') \not\subset S'_p$ which contradicts Claim 5.5. □

We close the paper with an example which shows that Theorem 5.4 can be viewed as a generalization of the *daisy relation* found in [6].

**Example 5.7.** Let $F$ be a sphere with $k+1$ holes ($k \geq 3$) that is obtained as the $k$-fold cyclic branched covering $\pi_F : F \to A$ of an annulus $A$ branched at one point. Let $a_0, \ldots, a_k$ be the boundary components of $F$. Let $\iota_F : F \to F$ be a deck transformation defined by a $2\pi/k$ rotation of $F$ about the unique branch point such that $\iota_F(a_0) = a_0$ and $\iota_F(a_i) = a_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. See the left picture of Figure 4. Let $x_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$) be a simple closed curves on $F$ enclosing $x_0$ and $x_i$ such that $\iota_F(x_i) = x_{i+1}$. According to the *daisy relation* as stated in [6] we have

$$T_{x_1} \cdots T_{x_k} = T_{a_0}^{k-2} T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_k} \in \mathrm{Mod}(F). \tag{5.6}$$

(The case of $k = 3$ yields the famous lantern relation.)

Recall the $k$-fold cyclic branched covering $\pi : S \to D$ with $n$ branch points and the deck transformation $\iota : S \to S$. Take an embedding $i : F \hookrightarrow S$ such that $i \circ \iota_F(x) = \iota \circ i(x)$ for all $x \in F$. Let $C = i(x_1), A_0 = i(a_0)$, and $A = i(a_1)$. See the right picture in Figure 4 for the simplest case $(n, k) = (3, 3)$.




FIGURE 4. Left: The $(k + 1)$ holed sphere $F$ where $k = 4$. Right: The surface $S$ where $(n, k) = (3, 3)$. The curves $C, A, A_0$ satisfy the daisy (lantern) relation $T_C T_{\iota(C)} T_{\iota^2(C)} = T_{A_0} T_A T_{\iota(A)} T_{\iota^2(A)}$. The surface $S \setminus i(F)$ is the Bennequin surface of a $(2, 3)$ torus knot, which is $A_0$.

The daisy relation (5.6) gives

$$T_C T_{\iota(C)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(C)} = \left(T_{A_0}^{k-2}\right) \left(T_A T_{\iota(A)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(A)}\right) \in \mathrm{Mod}(S). \tag{5.7}$$

The left hand side of (5.7) is of the form of $[f_1 f_2 \cdots f_k]$ which is studied in Theorem 5.4. One can show, using [15, Lemma 3.1] and the chain relation [8, Proposition 4.12] in mapping class group theory, the term $T_{A_0}^{k-2}$ in the right hand side of (5.7) is the image of a quasi-positive braid under the homomorphism $\Psi : B_n \to \mathrm{Mod}(S)$. We can also see that the link $A \cup \iota(A) \cup \cdots \cup \iota^{k-1}(A)$ is a $(k, k)$ torus link and the term $T_A T_{\iota(A)} \cdots T_{\iota^{k-1}(A)}$ is the image under $\Psi$ of a positive full twist of $k$-stranded braid, which is clearly a quasi-positive element in $B_n$. In this sense, Theorem 5.4 can be seen as a generalization of the daisy relation.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Joan Birman, John Etnyre, Amey Kaloti and Rebecca Winarski for useful discussions. TI was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 15K17540. KK was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1206770 and Simons Foundation Collaboration Grants for Mathematicians.

## References

[1] W. Abikoff, *The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space*, volume 820 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[2] J. Birman and H. Hilden, *On isotopies of homeomorphisms of Riemann surfaces*, (with H.M. Hilden), Annals of Math. 97, No. 3 (1973), 424-439, see also erratum, Annals of Mathematics 185 (2017), 1-1.

[3] J. Birman, *Mapping class groups of surfaces: A survey* Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md., 1973), pp. 57-71. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 79, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974.

[4] J. Birman, A. Lubotzky and J. Mccarthy, *Abelian and solvable subgroups of the mapping class groups*, Duke Math. J. 50 (1983) 1107-1120.

[5] Y. Eliashberg, *Topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension* $> 2$. Internat. J. Math. 1 (1990), no. 1, 29–46.

[6] H. Endo, T. Mark and J. Van Horn-Morris, *Monodromy substitutions and rational blowdowns*, Journal of Topology 4 (2011) 227–253.

[7] J. Etnyre and J. Van Horn-Morris, *Monoids in the mapping class group*, Geom. Topol. Monographs 19 (2015) 319–365.

[8] B. Farb and D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 49. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. xiv+472 pp.

[9] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and P. Poénaru *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, Séminaire Orsay. Astérisque 66-67, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1979. 284 pp.

[10] T. Ghaswala and R. Winarski, *Lifting Homeomorphisms and Cyclic Branched Covers of Spheres*, arXiv:1607.06060

[11] E. Giroux, *Géométrie de contact: de la dimension trois vres les dimensions supérieures,* Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematics, vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 405-414.

[12] R. Gompf, *Handlebody construction of Stein surfaces.* Ann. of Math. (2) 148 (1998), no. 2, 619–693.

[13] J. González-Meneses, *The nth root of a braid is unique up to conjugacy*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **3** (2003), 1103-1118.

[14] J. González-Meneses and B. Wiest, *On the structure of the centralizer of a braid*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), 729-757.

[15] S. Harvey, K. Kawamuro and O. Plamenevskaya, *On transverse knots and branched covers*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2009, no. 3, 512-546.

[16] Honda, Ko; Kazez, William H.; Matić, Gordana, *Right-veering diffeomorphisms of compact surfaces with boundary II,* Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), no. 4, 2057-2094.

[17] T. Ito and K. Kawamuro, *Quasi right-veering braids and non-loose links,* arXiv:1601.07084.

[18] N. V. Ivanov, *Subgroups of Teichmüller Modular Groups*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 115, AMS, 1992.
[19] O. Plamenevskaya, *Transverse invariants and right-veering*, arXiv. 1509.01732.

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: tetito@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

*URL*: http://www.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp/~tetito/

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

*E-mail address*: keiko-kawamuro@uiowa.edu